

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D42 (2023) www.uspito.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09.588,549	06/07/2000	Ichiro Okumura	35.C14536	9162
2214	2590 07,02,2002 CK CELLA HARPER 4	& SCINTO	EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			KAO, CHIH CHENG G	
MEW TORKS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2882	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/588,549	OKUMURA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Chih-Cheng Glen Kao	2882					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a r y within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
Za) 11115 delicit to 1 111 to 1	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
The second secon							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abey	rance. See 37 CFR 1,85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docume							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in	Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priapplication from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	s. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicat	ion).				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)	4) Intervie	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Detent and Trademark Office		5 / (5 *)					

Application/Control Number: 09/588,549

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. The restriction requirement made in the previous Office Action has been withdrawn.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Amendment of the claims has created a new restriction requirement.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - I. Group I drawn to the specifics of the device of an optical encoder with different slope angle grooves and driving system comprising the first embodiment, which corresponds to Figures 9-13b (This may correspond with claims 1-5 and 13-15, 30 and 33.);
 - II. Group II drawn to the specifics of the device of an optical encoder with a specific number of slits and driving system comprising a third embodiment, which corresponds to Figures 17-19B (This may correspond with claims 6-9 and 31.);
 - III. Group III drawn to the specifics of the device of an optical encoder with a particular surface and driving system comprising a fourth embodiment, which corresponds to Figures 20A and 20B (This may correspond with claims 10-12 and 32.);

Application/Control Number: 09/588,549

Art Unit: 2882

IV. Group IV drawn to the specifics of the device of an optical encoder with each slope of a groove having a width of P/2N comprising the sixth embodiment which corresponds to Figure 23b as allegedly submitted by Applicant in the Amendment filed 3/1/02 (This may correspond with claims 16, 17, and 34.);

V. Group V drawn to the specifics of the device of an optical encoder wherein the light is reflected by the second region comprising a seventh embodiment which corresponds to Figure 26 (This may correspond with claims 18-29.);

The species are patentably distinct because one species does not require the characteristics of another species. Group I involves an optical encoder with grooves having different angles. Group II involves the number of slits in an optical encoder. Group III involves the surface of an optical encoder. Group IV involves the width of the groove. Group IV involves the light path of the optical signal reflecting off the second region. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, III, IV, or V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 35 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Application/Control Number: 09/588,549

Art Unit: 2882

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Dariush Adli on June 28, 2002, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 2882

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chih-Cheng Glen Kao whose telephone number is (703) 605-5298. The examiner can normally be reached on M - Th (8 am to 5 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

T

gk June 28, 2002 HOBERT H. KIM
SHIPE MISSON PATENT EXILIBINER
TENNES FOR THE NOO